



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.35, No.32

August 19th, 1999

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: *"Parliament no longer considers that it derives its authority from the consent of free and responsible individuals, but from the right of a secret, anonymous, and therefore completely irresponsible statistical majority to over-ride the will of individuals or minorities. This departure from the Christian conceptions would be less serious if Christians were less confused about it. The prevailing idea of justice has become comparative; a matter of 'fairness' in the distribution of rewards and punishments between classes or individuals, rather than that which is based upon Natural Law and the sanctity of agreement between free and responsible men."*

GREENHOUSE 'CON' by Jeremy Lee:

There is an increasing awareness of the fact that 'global warming' and 'greenhouse-emission-targets' are convenient excuses for government programmes that involve tax-increases for further regulation. Nothing is really done to solve these problems.

For example, the unnecessary use of transport in the import-export game is a case in point. Pork is shifted in an export programme from the northern hemisphere to the south.

A different programme then exports pork from the recipient country to another set of export markets. The unnecessary duplication involves enormous transport costs and, obviously, needless carbon emissions.

Yet conservationists never seem to focus on this aspect of global pollution, preferring to harass small, defenseless industries such as timber industries, displaying a callous disregard for the plight of those thrown out of work.

A classic example is the recent allocation of \$300 million to the renewal of Perth's bus fleet. The new buses will be diesel, one of the worst-polluting fuels, now shown to be carcinogenic.

The State Opposition has correctly pointed out that the same money could have been allocated to a completely pollution-free transport fleet. Buses running on hydrogen are on trial in Germany and Canada. Hydrogen fuel-cell technology uses hydrogen produced from fuels such as natural gas to produce electricity which then powers the engine. Water vapour is the only emission produced.

Such advances could have been achieved years ago had governments done something more than pay lip-service to the concept of conservation.

REVOLUTIONARY TACTICS: The current confrontation in West Australia's logging industry has produced some red-hot confrontations. There are good arguments on both sides. It is true there have been large areas of over-logging. Equally, it is an over-reaction to close down logging altogether, as many of the more extreme conservationists demand.

Missing is reasoned debate. A former Editor-in-Chief of the *West Australian*, Bob Cronin, had a penetrating article in *The Australian* on 8/7/99, in which he described the tactics used by the green activists, who have *"followed the blueprint for such campaigns; start with modest demands and keep increasing them as success is achieved; keep the message simple and avoid any reasoned debate; excite the media and thus the public by exaggerating claims and throwing in a few celebrities; and move the issue as quickly as possible to the political arena, further exciting the media and removing any chance of reasoned debate being revived..."*

Those who remember the old communist rules for revolution developed by the Trotskyists and teachers at the Lenin School for Political Warfare will recognise the same technique.

UK SOVEREIGNTY SLIDES AWAY by "Q.B.":

Britain continues to discover the price of its compliance with the Treaty of Rome and its membership in the European Economic Community.

At the time of joining the EEC it lost sovereignty over its trade, and could no longer give preference to Commonwealth countries. This has left the British Commonwealth looking rather like an anachronism.

More recently, the Maastricht Treaty has involved Britain in undertaking to forego its sovereign power to create its national money supply. The "Euro" currency will be regulated from Brussels. Whoever exercises the real power, German and French influence will be the decisive agency of imposing monetary policy in Britain.

The question of "Who is British?" is now also lost to foreigners.

The *UK Mail* (27/7/99): *"THE GRAVY TRAIN: The French are laying on a free Eurostar gravy train for asylum-seekers to reach Britain in a bid to solve their growing refugee crisis. The exiles, from Eastern Europe and the Balkans, are being put on London-bound trains at Calais and, in some instances, being given free tickets, according to a confidential report from British immigration officers to the Home Office. French Police are said to have intervened when British railway staff attempted to turn away passengers who did not have tickets or passports. One Eurostar employee was threatened with arrest when he tried to turn off a number of would-be asylum-seekers trying to reach Britain illegally."*

"The revelations come at a time when official figures show that a record number of refugees are landing in Britain. More than 60,000 are anticipated this year. Last month 1,090 people entered Britain on the Eurostar service without proper documentation. In January there were only 400. The July total is expected to be more than 2,000."

"The cost of state support for only 1,000 asylum-seekers is around £10 million a year. A spokesman for Eurostar said: 'It is an immigration issue to sort out who has papers'."

"News of the French situation comes as Home Secretary Jack Straw's crusade to stem the flow of refugees pouring into Britain suffered a major setback in the Court of Appeal. A ruling that three asylum-seekers

should not be returned to France or Germany, even though they were the first safe European countries they had reached on their way to the UK, is a severe blow to the Government's attempt to force Britain's European partners to stop sending their unwanted refugees and accept their share of the exiles.

"The judgment could send out the message that Britain is a soft touch for asylum-seekers."

More details followed in the next week's issue (UK Mail, 3/8/99):

"... senior judges said that because three refugees – from Somalia, Algeria and Sri Lanka – risked being sent straight back to their original homes from France or Germany, which have stricter rules than Britain, they were not safe countries for asylum-seekers to be returned to.

The judges ruled that the Home Secretary acted unlawfully when he ordered the two men and one woman to be returned across the Channel."

When this is associated with the global "refugee" situation, its implications for the future of nations becomes apparent. **The Australian** (8/7/99) reports:

"An estimated 30 million 'undocumented migrants' are moving around the world, feeding the booming people-smuggling rackets, according to an Australian crime expert (Institute of Criminal Technology director Adam Graycar) ... Chinese triads, Japanese yakuza, Korean gangs and the Russian mafia were all believed to be involved in the rackets, generating revenue of up to \$7 billion for the crime gangs. ... People smuggling is, for the most part, a relatively low-risk activity when compared to gun – or drug – smuggling. ... the maximum penalty for facilitating immigration fraud – two years jail or a \$10,000 fine – was 'little deterrent to an organiser who stands to make hundreds of thousands of dollars out of a boatload of paying customers' ... Mr Graycar said little information was available on what happened to illegals who made it into the community and workforce."

It seems that nations are simply to be seen as global dormitories. Whether one is a Turk, an American, a Pakistani or British is purely a matter of geography. If you sleep in France, you're French, if you can make it into Australia, you're Australian.

Culture, language, race, history, religion or traditions are irrelevant to nationality? Where does your mass bear down upon the earth's crust? This is the definitive consideration?

There is evidence that the jury of Australia's future is listening. The natural leadership can't be heard at the moment for the chattering classes and the media loud hailers directing the traffic into dead-end streets.

Where are the sanctions on the volume controls? The ones who get them will ultimately be those who want them the most.

WHO IS RESPONSIBLE? by Phillip D. Butler:

What has amazed me over the years is the Marxist doctrine – still in vogue today – that so long as you give the "peasants" the land they will therefore end up creating a society where they will be self-sufficient in sustaining their way of life – even more important – **FREE!!!**

The classic example is Rhodesia – now Zimbabwe – where I spent some time. The then much maligned Rhodesian Government had set up agricultural colleges to train the native farmers in modern agricultural

N
335.405
ONT

techniques. Very practical training right down to the meals provided during their training. Their meals were traditional native – in other words, no bacon and eggs, etc. – so when they went back to their own community they could apply their newly acquired agricultural skills without thinking they had to have the latest agricultural equipment available and place them immediately under enormous debt.

We can see the end result when this was abandoned. Today Rhodesia (Zimbabwe) is under the rule of the murderous Marxist thug Robert Mugabe, whom former Australian PM Malcolm Fraser helped foist on the Rhodesians. Notice Fraser never apologises for the destruction and misery he helped foist on the black population of a once very prosperous and civilised country!

Now Mugabe has decided that farms run by the few remaining whites – life line for agricultural survival – should be "nationalised" – so that Africans, with little or no agricultural experience can take control. This will not create any more food – in fact, will guarantee the further erosion of food production and poverty.

That is why the item by Andrew Holt, **Herald-Sun** (12/8/99) is so important because it really does reflect the basis that the **individual** does have to relate to their own actions – something politicians find it hard to deal with these days! Giving "rights" and land of itself does not guarantee quality of life of the individual.

Andrew Holt wrote:

"The biggest threat to Aborigines may now be the whites who insist on treating them as children, denying them any responsibility for the lives they lead.

"Take last Monday's Age. It uncritically reported how hotheads would shame the Howard Government by taking foreign journalists at the Sydney Olympics to see Aboriginal slum housing.

"The Age helpfully showed T.J. Yanner, brother of the head of the Carpentaria Land Council, posing in such a 'house of shame' in Doomadgee, west of Cairns.

"To me the picture in fact proves that some shame belongs to the three women who share what could be a decent enough home.

"Heavy cobwebs hang from the ceiling, filth coats the fridge, dirt mottles the walls, the glass is gone from the window, and spirits bottles are crammed on a cupboard.

"Few of the 90-odd houses in Doomadgee are much better, although \$6.6 million of taxpayers' money is being spent on renovations and building.

"Mr. Yanner has said some black children deliberately break the law, because 'at least in jail it's clean and there are three meals a day'.

"Increasingly, we must now look to Aborigines to feel a little shame themselves and tackle their own problems.

"More taxpayer cash will not clean their fridges or cook their food. It cannot in itself make their children study, or end the ruinous alcoholism or rampant wife-bashing. Nor can we expect it to, if we care for Aboriginal self-respect."

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052J, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.

20 SEP 1999

OF AUSTRALIA